Alternatives Assessment 101

Webinar October 23, 2018



Context for Today's Webinar

- Compared to just a decade ago, scientific, policy and market/consumer drivers are increasing demands to better identify and adopt alternatives to toxic chemicals of concern
- Alternatives assessment has emerged as an important science policy field to help guide product design and substitution decisions
- But what is alternatives assessment?



Questions to be Addressed

- Today's 75 minute webinar is designed to be an introduction to those that are new to the field
 - ☑ What is alternatives assessment?
 - ☑ Why use it?
 - ☑ What are its main components
 - How does it differ from other aligned fields such as risk assessment or life cycle impact
 - Lessons and insights from practitioners and researchers in the field



Webinar Logistics

- Due to the number of participants on the webinar, all lines are muted
- If you wish to ask a question, please type your question in the Q&A box located in the drop down control panel at the top of the screen
- All questions will be answered at the end of the presentations
- The webinar is being recorded slides/recording to be posted on <u>www.saferalternatives.org</u>



Today's Speakers



Joel Tickner Professor of Public Health, University of Massachusetts Lowell



Pam Eliason Senior Associate Director, MA Toxics Use Reduction Institute



Pamela Spencer

Senior Director Regulatory and Product Steward Ship, Angus Chemical



Timothy Malloy Professor of Law, UCLA

Cathy Rudisill Chemistry Manager, SRC



Meredith Williams Deputy Director, CA Department of Toxic Substances and Control



Alternatives Assessment 101

Joel Tickner – Lowell Center for Sustainable Production Pam Eliason – MA Toxics Use Reduction Institute October 23, 2018



What we'll cover

- What is alternatives assessment
 - Definitions and frameworks
 - Goals and considerations
- Principles and main elements of alternatives assessment
- Evolution of the scientific literature on alternatives assessment
- Policies and applications of alternative assessments
- Massachusetts Toxics Use Reduction Institute's approach
 - Tools
 - Examples/case studies
 - Promoting adoption
- Lessons learned and additional resources



Overview

- Alternatives Assessment is a science-policy method focused on informing the selection of chemical alternatives, supporting the transition to safer chemicals, materials and products.
- There are a number of core components of alternatives assessment and some gaps in those components
- Alternatives assessment supports informed substitution which includes both assessment and adoption phases
- It is essential to remember context and goals of alternatives assessment so that "perfect isn't the enemy of the good"



What is alternatives assessment?

"A process for identifying, comparing, and selecting safer alternatives to chemicals of concern on the basis of their hazards, comparative exposure, performance, and economic viability"

- NAS 2014



NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL



NAS 2014: Alternatives Assessment

is

- is a process for identifying, comparing and selecting safer alternatives to chemicals of concern.
- has a goal of facilitating an informed consideration of the advantages and disadvantages of alternatives to a chemical of concern.

is not

- a safety assessment, where the primary goal is to ensure that exposure is below a prescribed standard,
- a risk assessment where risk associated with a given level of exposure is calculated
- a sustainability assessment that considers all aspects of a chemicals' life cycle, including energy and material use.



Goal is Informed Substitution

EPA - 2010

A <u>considered transition</u> from a <u>chemical of particular concern</u> to <u>safer chemicals or non-chemical alternatives</u>.

The goals of informed substitution are to:

- <u>Minimize the likelihood of unintended consequences</u>, which can result from a precautionary switch away from a chemical of concern without fully understanding the profile of potential alternatives, and
- Enable a course of action based on the best information on the environment and human health - that is available or can be estimated.



Regrettable Substitutions

A Few Examples



About this blog



Regrettable, if predictable: Bisphenol S mimics estrogen just like its better-studied cousin, bisphenol A

By RICHARD DENISON | BIO | Published: JANUARY 17, 2013

Science, health, and business experts at Environmental Defense Fund comment on chemical and nanotechnology issues of the day. Our work: Chemicals Richard Denison, Ph.D., is a Senior Scientist

A rule of thumb in chemistry is that chemicals that look alike will more often than not act alike. (If it looks like a duck) Indeed, when chemical companies are faced with testing requirements for one of their chemicals, they routinely argue that they should be allowed to submit test data on a structurally related chemical instead.

So when it was revealed that companies making products (such as thermal receipt paper) that contain the estrogen-mimicking compound bisphenol A (BPA) were switching to another chemical called bisphenol S (BPS), many scientist's evelorous quickly arched.

Search this blog To search, type and hit enter

(Search)

Take a look at these two chemical structures:



Methylene chloride & 1-Bromoproane (NPB)



New Flame Retardants, Other Replacement Chemicals, Pose Same Problems As Predecessors

Posted: 11/28/2012 12:04 pm EST Updated: 11/28/2012 10:06 pm EST



Focus of Alternatives Assessment

Alternatives assessment is a step-defined, action-oriented process

- Focus on <u>function</u> not the particular chemical
 - Focus on "intrinsic impact reduction"
 - Considers the "necessariness" of a chemical
- Finding a safer alternative and getting industry to adopt the use of it are not the same thing.
 - Must also be affordable and effective
- In some cases, safer, feasible alternatives may not exist and need to be developed



Function

The starting point of Alternatives Assessment

Table 1. Functional Substitution for Chemicals in Products, Chemicals in Processes

Functional Substitution Level	Chemical in Product Bisphenol-a in Thermal Paper	Chemical in Process Methylene Chloride in Degreasing Metal Parts			
Chemical Function (Chemical Change)	Is there a functionally equivalent chemical substitute (i.e., chemical developer)?	Is there a functionally equivalent chemical substitute (i.e., chlorinated solvent degreaser)?			
	Result: Drop-in chemical replacement	Result: Drop-in chemical replacement			
End Use Function (Material, Product, Process Change)	Is there another means to achieve the function of the chemical in the product (i.e., creation of printed image)?	Is there another means to achieve the function of the process (i.e., degreasing)?			
	Result: Redesign of thermal paper, material changes	Result: Redesign of the process (e.g. ultrasonic, aqueous)			
Function As Service (System Change)	Are cash register receipts necessary? Are there alternatives that could achieve the same purpose (i.e. providing a record of sale to a consumer)?	Is degreasing metal parts necessary? Are there other alternatives that could achieve the same purpose (i.e., providing metal parts free of contaminants for other end uses)?			
	Result: Alternative printing systems (e.g., electronic receipts)	Result: Alternative metal cutting methods			

Tickner, et al, Environmental Science and Technology, 2014



Three essential steps of alternatives assessments (O'Brien 2000)

"One of the most essential, and powerful steps to change is understanding that there are alternatives"

- Presentation of a full range of alternatives
- Presentation of the potential adverse effects of each option
- Presentation of potential benefits of each option



Commons Principles for Alternatives Assessment

www.bizngo.org/alternatives-assessment/commons-principles-alt-assessment

- Reduce Hazard
- Minimize Exposure
- Use Best Available Information
- Require Disclosure and Transparency
- Resolve Trade-Offs
- Take Action

THE COMMONS PRINCIPLES FOR ALTERNATIVES ASSESSMENT

Addressing Chemicals of Concern to Human Health or the Environment

In October 2012, a group of 26 environmental health scientists, advocates, funders and policy makers met in Boston, Massachusetts for two days of meetings entitled Building a **Chemical Commons:** Data Sharing, Alternatives Assessment and Communities of Practice. One of the key outcomes of this meeting was an agreement regarding the need for a common definition and set of principles for chemicals alternatives assessment. Following this meeting, a subcommittee met over four months in 2013 to refine a consensus set of principles. These principles were based on earlier foundational work by the Lowell Center for Sustainable Production, the Massachusetts Toxics Use Reduction Institute, the Environmental Defense Fund, and the BizNGO Working Group, These principles are now available to be shared and used in framing discussions about alternatives assessment and to guide decision making about safer chemical use.

A lternatives Assessment is a process for identifying, comparing and selecting safer alternatives* to chemicals of concern (including those in materials, processes or technologies) on the basis of their hazards, performance, and economic viability. A primary goal of Alternatives Assessment is to reduce risk to humans and the environment by identifying safer choices.

These Principles for Alternatives Assessment are designed to guide a process for well informed decision making that supports successful phase out of hazardous products, phase in of safer substitutes and elimination of hazardous chemicals where possible.

REDUCE HAZARD Reduce hazard by replacing a chemical of concern with a less hazardous alternative. This approach provides an effective means to reduce risk associated with a product or process if the potential for exposure remains the same or lower. Consider reformulation to avoid use of the chemical of concern altogether.

MINIMIZE EXPOSURE Assess use patterns and exposure pathways to limit exposure to alternatives that may also present risks.

USE BEST AVAILABLE INFORMATION Obtain access to and use information that assists in distinguishing between possible choices. Before selecting preferred options, characterize the product and process sufficiently to avoid choosing alternatives that may result in unintended adverse consequences.

REQUIRE DISCLOSURE AND TRANSPARENCY Require disclosure across the supply chain regarding key chemical and technical information. Engage stakeholders throughout the assessment process to promote transparency in regard to alternatives assessment methodologies employed, data used to characterize alternatives, assumptions made and decision making rules applied.

RESOLVE TRADE-OFFS Use information about the product's life cycle to better understand potential benefits, impacts, and mitigation options associated with different alternatives. When substitution options do not provide a clearly preferable solution, consider organizational goals and values to determine appropriate weighting of decision criteria and identify acceptable trade-offs.

TAKE ACTION Take action to eliminate or substitute potentially hazardous chemicals. Choose safer alternatives that are commercially available, technically and economically feasible, and satisfy the performance requirements of the process/product. Collaborate with supply chain partners to drive innovation in the development and adoption of safer substitutes. Review new information to ensure that the option selected remains a safer choice.

"Safer Alternative: An option, including the option of not continuing an activity, that is healthier for humans and the environment than the existing means of meeting that need. For example, safer alternatives to a particular chemical may include a chemical substitute or a re-design that eliminates the need for any chemical addition." From Tickner, J. and Eliason, P. Alternatives Assessment for Chemicals: From Problem-Evaluation to Solutions-Assessment and Implementation: A background paper created expressly for use in the March 31-April, 2011 Interagency Discussion on Alternatives Assessment, EAP Alotomac Yards Conference Facility, Crystal City, VA. March 24, 2011







Table 1. Elements of AA—A snapshot

	Component	What it involves
Assessment	Scoping, problem formulation	 Establishes the scope and plan for the assessment Identifies stakeholders to engage and the decision rules that will guide the assessment Gathers data on the chemical of concern, its function and application
	Identify alternatives	 Identifies alternatives to be considered based on the functional needs in the application currently being performed by the chemical of concern
	Hazard assessment	 Evaluates the human health and ecological hazards for each alternative compared to the chemical of concern
	Exposure characterization	 Evaluates the intrinsic exposure potential for each alternative on the basis of boundaries established in the problem formulation step
	Technical feasibility assessment	 Assesses the performance of alternatives against the requirements established during the problem formulation step
	Comparative economic feasibility assessment	 Assesses the economic feasibility of alternatives against the requirements established during the problem formulation step
	Other life cycle considerations	 Addresses additional factors critical for characterizing effects to human health and the environment beyond those included in the hazard and exposure assessment component to avoid risk trade-offs (e.g., energy, climate change effects, etc.)
	Decision making	 Identifies acceptable alternatives on the basis of information compiled in previous steps – Addresses situations in which no alternatives are currently viable by initiating research and development to generate new alternatives or improve existing options – Establishes an implementation plan
Action	Adoption	 Implementation of the safer, feasible alternative and identification of any potential trade-offs and continuous improvement opportunities
	Link to safer chemistry and/or technology research and development	 When no safer, feasible alternative is identified, research and development should be initiated

Source: Tickner et al. IEAM 2018



Source: Expands on the NRC (2014) framework by including additional details on technical, economic assessment and decision making that is inclusive of other AA frameworks, such as the Interstate Chemicals Clearinghouse Alternatives Assessment Guidance, V. 1.1 (IC2 2017).

Learning w

Alternatives Assessment Frameworks



- These are guides, not prescriptive protocols
- Some more resource intensive than others
- Many elements in common
- There is a need for consistent, yet flexible alternatives assessment methods and guidance
 - greater alignment among frameworks and elements important for greater applicability/ transferability of AAs conducted



AA Methods and Tools





Lifecycle considerations, comparative exposure characterization and decision analysis, but tools specific for AA limited

Landscape of Hazard Assessment Tools (Not comprehensive, or mutually exclusive)



Learning with Purpose

OECD Toolkit





OECD Substitution and Alternatives Assessment Tool Selector

The Tool Selector is designed to provide information on tools that can be used in conducting chemical substitutions or alternatives assessments. The filters below may be used to identify tools of greatest relevance to your substitution or alternatives assessment goals. You may also view more in-depth information on each tool, or a side-by-side comparison of a set of tools, by selecting two or more tools from the list below.

All tools included in the Tool Selector address chemical hazard assessment, and may address other comparative attributes.

What's an Alternatives Assessment Tool?

A tool is an approach for evaluating a chemical, material, process, product, and/or technology for attribute analysis within a chemical substitution/alternatives assessment.

Tools that contain a repository of organized information but do not have a mechanism for data manipulation for outside users are flagged below as data sources using the following symbol:

For information on tools with a primary focus on non-hazard comparative attributes such as cost/benefits and availability, life-cycle impacts, and materials management, please visit the **Inventory of Non-Hazard Assessment Tools**.

Each tool has its benefits and limitations. The user of this toolbox needs to understand the capabilities of the tools to make the most informed decisions about conducting alternatives assessments.

Decision Analysis

Advancing Alternatives Assessment for Safer Chemical Substitution: A Research and Practice Agenda

Joel Tickner, * *†*‡ Molly Jacobs, *†*‡ Tim Malloy, § Topher Buck, *||* Alex Stone, # Ann Blake, *†*† and Sally Edwards‡

†University of Massachusetts Lowell, Department of Public Health, Lowell, Massachusetts, USA ‡Lowell Center for Sustainable Production, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Lowell, Massachusetts, USA §University of California, Los Angeles, School of Law, Los Angeles, California, USA ∥Northeast Waste Management Officials' Association, Interstate Chemicals Clearinghouse, Boston, Massachusetts, USA #Washington Department of Ecology, Lacey, Washington, USA †Environmental and Public Health Consulting, Alameda, California, USA

ABSTRACT

Alternatives assessment has emerged as a science policy field that supports the evaluation and adoption of safer chemistries in manufacturing processes and consumer products. The recent surge in the development and practice of alternatives assessment has revealed notable methodological challenges. Spurred by this need, we convened an informal community of practice comprising industry experts, academics, and scientists within government and nongovernmental organizations to prioritize a research and practice agenda for the next 5 years that, if implemented, would significantly advance the field of alternatives assessment. With input from over 40 experts, the agenda outlines specific needs to advance methods, tools, and guidance in 5 critical areas: hazard assessment, comparative exposure characterization, life cycle considerations, decision making, and professional practice. Fifteen research and practice needs were identified, ranging from relatively simple efforts to define a minimum hazard data set to the development of more complex performance and decision-analytic methods and data



Learning with I

Research Needs Moving Forward

Hazard Assessment

- Improve approaches for ecotox, integrating multiple data types, and addressing uncertainty
- Establish approaches for mixtures and chemical to material comparisons
- Comparative exposure assessment
 - Identify how results from a comparative exposure assessment should be integrated with hazard assessment results to identify trade-offs in the AA process
- Life cycle assessment
 - Streamline life cycle assessment needs during the initial scoping and problem formulation stage of an AA by targeting life cycle stages and impact categories that are most significant



Research Needs Moving Forward

Decision-Analysis

- Engage in method and tool development for different aspects of decision making (analysis and deliberation) for private and regulatory contexts
- Professional Practice
 - Develop best-practice guidance for components of AA
 - Enhance AA professional capacity through training and education



Alternatives Assessment in Policy

Regulatory policies

- Require alternatives assessment to demonstrate availability or lack of safer feasible alternatives to a chemical of concern (REACH, CA SCP)
- Require alternatives assessment to support regulatory actions (WA, ME)
- Integrate safer alternatives considerations in procurement (SF)
- Require facility planning that examines alternatives (MA TURA)
- Classification-based substitution requirements (EU occupational)

Non-regulatory programmatic support policies

- Conduct assessments for priority chemicals (WA, EPA DfE, TURI)
- Provide technical support, demonstration, networking, training (TURI)
- Provide data, positive listing, labeling (EPA Safer Choice)



Promoting Safer Alternatives

Massachusetts Toxics Use Reduction Institute

Identify and prioritize higher hazard substances

Train professionals on chemical hazard, performance and cost assessment

• Provide tools to identify, understand and compare alternatives

Conduct assessments of alternatives to common uses of toxic chemicals

Fund research to develop safer, effective and affordable alternatives to toxics



Tools for Finding and Assessing Safer Alternatives

Identifying safer parts cleaning solutions: www.cleanersolutions.org

Gathering data to support evaluation process: <u>http://guides.turi.org/beyondmsds</u>

Comparing chemical, product and process alternatives: https://p2oasys.turi.org/



TURI » Our Work » Cleaning Labora... » Laboratory Testing » CleanerSolutions Database

CleanerSolutions.org

CleanerSo	utions	Database	
	No. of Concession, Name	NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.	

You can find a wealth of

Simple Solutions for Surface Cleaning

More about CleanerSolutions

Ask your cleaning questions today!

TURI Labo

Results are lini add substrate and equipment criteria to help narrow your search.

your contamina	Required Field You must select one or more contaminants.	Optional Fields Filter your search by substrate or equipment type, or leave these fields set to <i>Any</i> to include all results for a given contaminant.					
Repla	Contaminant	Substrate	Equipment				
cleaner.	Abrasive 🔺	Any	Any				
Safety S	Abrasives	Alloys	High Pressure Spray				
environmental	Adhesive	Alumina	Immersion/Soak				
	Alcohol	Aluminum	Low Pressure Spray				
Browse (Buffing/Polishing Compo	Brass	Manual Wipe				
industry.	Calcium/lime	Carbon Fiber	Mechanical Agitation				
Part Des	Carbon Deposits	Carbon Steel	Media Blasting				
part shape siz	Clay	Ceramics	Plasma				
pure shape, siz	Coatings	Chrome	Steam				
	Cutting/Tapping Fluids	Cold Rolled Steel	Supercritical Extraction				
	Dirt	Copper	Ultrasonics				
	Films -	Electronics -	Vapor Degreasing				

All Fields Hold down the *shift* or *ctrl* keys to select multiple values.

Optional Search Filters



1

About Cleaner Database Den

TURI Laborato

Contact the La

Find a Cleaner

Replace a Solution

Safety Screen Browse Clent Vendor Searc Browse Vend Clent Clent Forms

Welcome to P2OASys Get Started

What is P2OASys?

P2OASys allows companies to assess the potential environmental, worker, and public health impacts of alternative technologies aimed a systematic thinking about the potential hazards posed by current and alternative processes identified during the TUR planning process

Systematically examine the potential environmental and worker impacts of options, examining the total impacts of process change

Compare options with current processes based on quantitative and qualitative factors.

Embedded formulae in P20ASys provide a numerical hazard score for the company's current process and identified options, which can t expertise to make decisions on adoption of alternatives. Companies input both quantitative and qualitative data on the chemical toxicit organization likely as a result of the proposed option.



Any question or comments can be directed at Jason Mai

Jason Marshall: Tel:(978) 934-3133 Email: Jason@turi.org

This web site is maintained by the Toxics Use Reduction Institute at 1 The Massachusetts Toxics Use Reductic University of Massachusetts Lov 600 Suffolk Street Lowell, Massachusetts 01854-28 Tel: 978-934-3275 Fax: 978-934-3050

Welcome to the P2OASys Tool!

Information about P2OASys can be found on the TURI webpage here.





Summary

Upload A Chemical/Product to the P2OASys Database

Upload A Mixture to the P2OASys Database

Export Data to CSV

Import Data from CSV

Hazard Score Matrix



https://p2oasys.turi.org/

Learning with Purpose

Case Study: Trichloroethylene

The Result of the Massachusetts Toxics Use Reduction Planning and Technical Support Process



Trichloroethylene Cleaning Use Data



Case Study: Perchloroethylene

Uses in Massachusetts

- Garment cleaning (professional dry cleaning)
- Industrial vapor degreasing
- Automotive aerosols

Why Higher Hazard Substance

- Neurotoxin
- Skin and eye irritant; Causes defatting of skin
- Liver, Kidney and CNS damage
- Carcinogen (NTP: Reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen; IARC Group 2A Probably carcinogenic to humans)
- Toxic to aquatic organisms



Alternatives Evaluated

- n Propyl bromide
- Siloxane (D5)
- Propylene glycol ethers
- Acetal (Solvon K4)
- High flashpoint hydrocarbons
- Liquid CO₂
- Wet Cleaning

Key Assessment Criteria		Perc (reference)	Wet Cleaning ¹	Carbon Dioxide	High Flashpoint Hydrocarbons	Acetal	Propylene Glycol Ethers	Siloxane	n Propyl Bromide	Summai
Common 1 Manufactu or Solvent	frade Names / urers of Equipment s		Wascomat, Miele, Continental, HwaSung, AquaSolo	Cool Clean Technologies, Solvair®	DF2000 [™] Fluid, EcoSolv [®] , ShellSol D60, Caled Hydroclene	Solvon K4	Solvair®, Rynex 3®, Impress®, Gen-X®	Green Earth* D5 solvent	Drysolv ^e , Fabrisolv™ XL	ry Tab
Solvent Ch Identificat	emical ion [CAS#]	Perchloroethylene [127-18-4]	Solvent: Water Detergents: See full report ¹	Carbon Dioxid e [124-38-9]	Naphth a (petroleum) hydrotmated heavy (64742-48-9); C10-C13 isoalkanes (68551-17-7)	1-(butoxy methoxy) butan e (bu tybl) [2568-90-3]	dipropylene glycol tert-butyl ether, [132739- 31-2]; di- propylene glycol n-butyl ether, [29911-28-2]	Decamethylcyclo- pen ta siloxane (D5) [541-02-6]	N Propyl Bromide (nPB) [306-94-5]	le: Con
	Cycle time (min)	45	20-40	35-45	60-75	60-65	>45	53-58	45	Ğ
Pe _	Load capacity (Ib)	50	20-75	60	35-90	40-90	43	55	50	ğ
Technical / arformance ²	Materials system may have difficulty with	Leather, suedes, beads, delicates	Le ather, sue de and fur	Triacetates, specially dyed acetates	Vinyl appliqués	Appliqués or decorations glued to fabric	None identified	None identified	Leather, suedes, beads, delicates	rison
	Spotting requirements	Moderate	Low	High	Moderate	Low	Low	High	Low	으
Financial	Equipment	\$40,000 - \$65,000	\$36,000 - \$61,000	\$100,000 - >\$150,000	\$38,000 - \$75,000	\$50,000 - \$100,000	\$56,000	\$30,500 - \$55,000	\$40,000 - \$60,000 or retrofit costs	Pe
	Chemical cost per gallon	\$17	\$0.007/gal (w ater); \$25-\$31/gal (detergent)	\$0.18/lb (CO ₂); \$40/gal (detergent)	\$14-\$17	\$28-\$34	\$25-\$30	\$22-\$28	\$40-\$64	rc an
	Electricity usage ³ (kWh/100 lb)	26.6	9.3	30.9	35.5	Similar to hydrocarbon	Unavailable	54.2	Unavailable	S p
	Typical cost per pound cleaned ⁴	\$0.63-\$1.94 avg. \$1.02	\$0.57-\$1.32 avg. \$1.10	\$1.40	\$0.73-\$1.02 avg. \$0.88	Unavailable	\$1.14	\$1.08-\$2.33 avg. \$1.71	Unavailable	eve
Environmental	Persistence ⁵ (water, soil, sediment, air)	M (water), H (soil, sed, air)	L (water, soil, air), M (sed)	NA	L (water, soil, air), M (sed)	L (water, soil, air), M (sed)	L (water, soil, air), M (sed)	L (water), M (soil), H (sed, air)	L (water, soil), M (sed), H (air)	n Ga
	Bio accumulation ⁶	Low	Low	NA	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Im
	Aquatic Toxicity ⁷	Moderate	Low to Moderate ⁸	Low	High	Moderate ⁹	Low	High	High	en
Human Health	Recommended Exposure limits ¹⁰	25 ppm	NE	5000 ppm	100 ppm ¹¹	NE	NE	10 ppm ¹²	10 ppm	a
	Central Nervous System Effects	Yes	No ¹³	No ¹⁴	Yes	No data available	Yes	Some evidence	Yes	ĕ
	Carcinogenicity	IARC Probable human carcinogen	Not classified by IARC	Not classified by IARC	Not classified by IARC	Not classified by IARC	Not classified by IARC	Some evidence	Clear evidence in animal studies by NTP	aning
	Reproductive / Developmental Toxicity	Yes	Negligible ¹⁵	No data available	No data available	No data available	No ¹⁶	Studies indicate concern	Yes	A



Professional Wet Cleaning

- Identified clear preference given comparisons of hazard, cost and performance
- Follow up with case studies and demonstrations
- Support peer training to assure success





http://www.turi.org/Our Work/Business/ Small Businesses/Dry Cleaning

MA currently has 17 professional dedicated wet cleaners



Case Study: Hexavalent Chromium

Uses in Massachusetts

- Metals processing and plating (corrosion resistance)
- Paints, pigments and dyes

Why Higher Hazard Substance

- Carcinogenic (lung)
- Mutagenic
- Developmental toxicity
- Acute toxicity respiratory tract and skin sensitizer



Reducing Use of Hexavalent Chromium





Learning with Purpose

Industry Collaborative Performance Testing Approach





Developing Alternatives when None Commercially Available





TURI's Academic Research Program

Historical Perspective

Since 1992

- Over \$1.76 M in funding
- 95 projects
- 120 students



Starting in 2016

- Identify opportunities from TUR Plan Update summaries
- Reach out directly to companies
- Develop specific research proposals
- Invite faculty to respond



Safer Surfactants in Medical Devices

Octylphenol ethoxylates (OPEs)



- Common surfactant in immunoassay products
- Aquatic toxicity, potential endocrine disruption, breakdown to OP (higher toxicity, persistence)
- Listed as candidate SVHC

Research

- Performance criteria from industry partner
- Optimizing performance of viable polysaccharide-based alternative
- Assessing potential for negative environmental or human health impacts
 - Cytotoxicity screen
 - Biodegradation studies



TURI Prioritizes Value-Added Activities



Lessons Learned

From the Toxics Use Reduction Institute Perspective

Actionable information CAN be developed quickly when key partners engaged

Identify priorities

- Evidence of EH&S hazards
- Customer restrictions
- Future regulation

Do your research

- Optimize performance
- Assess potential hazards
- Check assumptions

Disseminate information broadly

Lessons learned on alternatives assessment

- Alternatives assessment is a growing field of science and practice which has a number of methodological and data gaps.
- A mix of regulatory and non-regulatory policy tools is needed to support the transition to safer chemicals including requirements to evaluate alternatives when restrictions are proposed.
- Remember alternative assessment's action orientation. Avoid paralysis by analysis – goal is "excellent action" not "excellent paper work"
- Keep it flexible and iterative and adaptable to decision-contexts and different users
- Focus on both assessment and adoption
- Need to build a more coherent, coordinated community of practice so as to ensure the science, methods and practice move in the needed direction

Alternatives Assessment Resources

- OECD Substitution and Alternatives Assessment Toolbox (Tools and model alternatives assessments) http://www.oecdsaatoolbox.org/
- OSHA Transitioning to Safer Chemicals (Simple alternatives assessment process for small and medium sized companies with links to many tools)https://www.osha.gov/dsg/safer_chemicals/
- Massachusetts Toxics Use Reduction Institute (TURI reports, tools, library, assessments) www.turi.org
- Interstate Chemicals Clearinghouse (policy database, hazard assessment database) http://www.theic2.org/
- Subsport (tools, assessments, frameworks and training materials) www.subsport.eu
- Clean Production Action (Green Screen and alternatives assessment resources) <u>www.cleanproduction.org</u>
- Chemical Commons (Searchable chemical hazard data) <u>https://commons.healthymaterials.net/</u>

Contact information

- Pam Eliason pam@turi.org
- Joel Tickner joel_tickner@uml.edu

Pamela Spencer Senior Director Regulatory and Product Steward Ship, Angus Chemical

Example of Safety Screening in Product Introduction Stage Gate

Timothy Malloy Professor of Law, UCLA

Cathy Rudisill Chemistry Manager, SRC

Meredith Williams Deputy Director, CA Department of Toxic Substances and Control

Please post your questions

Joel Tickner Professor Environmental Health, University of Massachusetts Lowell

Pamela Spencer

Senior Director Regulatory and Product Steward Ship, Angus Chemical Co.

> **Timothy Malloy** Professor of Law, UCLA

Cathy Rudisill Chemistry Manager, SRC

Meredith Williams Deputy Director, CA Department of Toxic Substances and Control

Pam Eliason Senior Associate Director, MA Toxics Use Reduction Institute

2nd International Symposium on Alternatives Assessment:

Building the Field

California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA) Sacramento, California | November 1-2, 2018

New professional association for alternatives assessment to be launched Nov 1

Thank you for joining

