

Development of a methodological document for the comparison of alternatives to hazardous substances

2nd International Symposium on Alternatives Assessment



Geoffrey Argiles - Unit for Assessment of chemical substances - Risk Assessment Department French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety (Anses)

Purpose of the request

5 French Ministries

(Environment, Labour, Health, Agriculture, Consumer affairs)





Answering of the request





The Working Group :

- 1. Development of a method to compare alternatives
- 2. Implementation in the various sectors of activity



General description of the method

Identification of alternatives through a search in the scientific literature Consultation of stakeholders in the sector of activity



List of 6 to 10 alternatives graded A, B, C or « not assigned » and fulfilling the technical performance criteria retained as essential

anses

General description of the method

List of 6 to 10 alternatives graded A, B, C or « not assigned » and fulfilling the technical performance criteria retained as essential



Comparative study of alternatives on the basis of available data

anses

General description of the method

Class 1	Insufficient technical performance
Class 2	Inferior technical performance
Class 3	Equivalent technical performance
Class 4	Superior technical performance
Not assigned	Not assigned due to insufficient data

Class 1	High exposure conditions
Class 2	Moderate exposure conditions
Class 3	Low exposure conditions
Class 4	Exposure conditions considered negligible
Not assigned	Not assigned due to insufficient data

Exposure Technical conditions Performance Hazard **Substitution** cost Hazard class 1 Extremely hazardous chemical substance Highest related costs Class 1 Hazard class 2 Very hazardous chemical substance Moderate related costs Very hazardous chemical substance due to missing data Hazard class 2_{DG} Class 2 Low related costs Class 3 Hazard class 3 Hazardous chemical substance Hazard class 3pg Hazardous chemical substance due to missing data Lowest related costs Class 4 Hazard class 4 Low hazard chemical substance Not assigned Not assigned due to insufficient data Not assigned Not assigned due to insufficient data anses

Implementation in animal feed

Formaldehyde is used as a processing aid for protection against ruminal degradation



Implementation in animal feed

1 st sequential step	Technical performance module	Regulation module	Hazard module QCAT tool	
Formaldehyde	Class 3	Substitution mandatory (OSH regulation)	F (extremely hazardous)	
Isopropanol; Ethanol; n-Propanol Glutaraldehyde; Glyoxal; Tannins; Essential oils; Zinc sulfate; Propionic Acid; Steaming	Not assigned (lack of data)			
Sodium hydroxyde	Class 2 (inferior)		B (hazardous)	
Extrusion cooking	Class 2 (inferior)	No exclusion by regulation	A (low hazard)	
Heat treatment with calcium lignosulfonate	Class 3 (equivalent)		Not assigned	
anses 🖓				

Implementation in animal feed

2 nd simultaneous step	Hazard module GreenScreen tool	Estimation of substitution cost module module		Other impacts module
Formaldehyde	Class 1 (extremely hazardous)	Class 4 (lowest (low) related costs)		
Sodium hydroxyde	Class 2 (very hazardous)	Not assigned (lack of data)	Class 4 (negligible)	Availability; Chemical burns
Extrusion cooking	Class 4 (low hazard)	Class 1 (highest related costs)	Class 4 (negligible)	Thermal burns; Dust emission
Heat treatment with calcium lignosulfonate	Class 3 (hazardous)	Class 4 (lowest related costs)	Class 4 (negligible)	Thermal burns; Dust emission

Results in animal feed

Conclusion of the		Alternatives			
	Formaldehyde	Extrusion	Sodium	Heat treatment with	
mouules		cooking	hydroxyde	calcium lignosulfonate	
« Technical	Class 3	Class 2		Class 3	
module					
« Hazard » module	Class 1	Class 4	Class 2	Class 3	
« Exposure conditions » module	Class 3	Class 4	Class 4	Class 4	
« Estimation of substitution cost » module	Class 4	Class 1	Not assigned	Class 4	
Identification of « other impacts »		Thermal burns; Dust emission	Availability; Chemical burns	Thermal burns; Dust emission	
anses 🤇					

Final presentation of the results

Final tables showing the various alternatives with their advantages and disavantages to enable the decision-makers to retain the best option in view of the criteria they consider high-priority and acceptable

As existing alternatives process are available

The WG recommends :

- to the public authorities : to prohibit the use of formaldehyde in animal feed ;
- to the animal feed manufacturers : to substitute formaldehyde by using an existing alternative process (in particular "extrusion cooking" or "heat treatment with calcium lignosulfonate").



Useful information about the reports





Thank you to

"Formaldehyde and subtitutes" WG
J.-F. Certin; M. Baril; C. Bayourthe;
C. Botineau; J.-M. Brignon; S. Calvez;
B. Dufeu; L. Fillaudeau; L. Garras;
M. Goliro; P. Lambert; A. Lattes;
S. Le Bouquin-Leneveu; R. Vincent

Anses contributors

D. Brunet, K. Fiore, L. Vérines-Jouin

All the members of the Program Committee for the 2nd International Symposium on Alternatives Assessment

All the participants for their attention